1935

# Department of L. Q. Hall

OFFICE Board of Rev

STATION Madison

Mis No. 4075

F. 3158/35

Dated 28-11-35

Pages in the file

(SUBJECT.)

Sec - Restr - (South Kanara) - Puttur ...
Alike village - R.S. 178/j ...
Reclassification - Appeal ...
Dismissed

1 ಮುರರಾಜೋಶ್ರೀ

ಮದರಾಸ್ ಪ್ರೊಡಕ್ಷನ್ಸ್ ಲಿಮಿಟೆಡ್ ಕೆವಿಮ್ಯೂ ಲಿಂಡ್ ಕೆವಿಸ್ಟ್ಸ್
ಮುದ್ರ ನೆಕ್ಲೆಮೆಂತ್ ಅಫೀಸರವರ ಸನ್ನಿಧಾನಕ್ಕೆ.

ದಕ್ಷಿಣಕನ್ನಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲಾ ಪುತ್ತೂರು ತಾಲೂಕು ನಂಬ್ರ 170ನೇ ಅಳಿಕೆಗ್ರಾಮದ ನಂಬ್ರ 28ನೇ ಪಚ್ಚೆಗಣಪತಿ ಭೋವರ ಬಳ್ಳಿ ಯಿಂದೋವರನ್ನು ಕಿಲಿಕಿಲಿಯಿಂದ ಗತಜಿಪ ವಿನಿಯೋಗವನ್ನು ಮಾಡಿಸೊಂಡು ಆಥಳ್ಳಿನ ಜಿಪು ಬುರುವ ಶಂಕರನಾರಾಯಣಗ್ರಾತಕ್ಕುರವರ ಮಗ ಮುರುಶೋತ್ತಮ ಭಕ್ಕನಾದ ನನಗೆ ಮಂಗಳೂರಲ್ಲಿಪ್ಪ ಸ್ಪೆಶಲ್ ಅಸಿಸ್ಟೊಂಟ್ ನಕ್ಲು ಮೆಂಟ್ ವಾಚ್ಕಿ ನಂಬ್ರ III ನೇ ಅಫೀಸರವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಲೆ ವಿಟ ನೇ 1688—35 dated 5-7-35 ನೇ ರ್ಷ್ಟಮು ತಾ 8-7-35 ರಲ್ಲು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟ ನನಗೆ ಜ್ಯೋಯಿಯರ್ದರಸಂಬಂಧವಾಗಿ ಬರಸೊಂಡ ಅಪ್ಪೀಲು ಅಜಿ:-

ಇತ್ರಲತ್ತ ಇಷ್ಟ್ಯಸಕ್ತಿ ಹುಸುಮಿವಿಂದ ಕಾಣುವ ನ. ನಂಬ್ರ 178/7. ನೇ ಸ್ತಳದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಒಂದು ನಂಗಾ ಭಾವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಯಾವತ್ತೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧ್ರಿಸಿದ ಭೂಮಿ ನಾಗುವಳ್ಳಿ ಮಾಡುವ ಮನುಷ್ಯನ ಜನ ಜಾನ್ವಾರು ಕಳಿಸಿ ನಾಕ್ಷ್ಟು ಪ್ರಮಯೋಸನ ಇದರಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಬಾಸಾಂಯಿಕುಮರ ಕಳಿಸಿ ನೀರು ರಾಯಿಸಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಯಿರ್ಷ್ಟೊಗ ನನ್ನಡ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಥವಾ ಯಿಗ್ರಾಮದ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಎಪ್ರಿಲ್, ಮೇ, ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನೀರಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಹೋಗಿ ನಾನು ಮೂಲ ಅಜ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವರ್ಸಿದಂತೆ ಫಸಲು ನಾಶವಾಗಿ ಹೋಗುವುದು. ಯಿ ವಿಷಯ ಆಯಾ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೋತಿರ್ಥಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಯಬರುದು. ಇದನ್ನು ರಿಗಿನ್ಸ್ಪೆಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿದ ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳ ಆಕ್ಸೈರಿಯಾದ ಮಳೆಗಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ನೋಡಿರ್ದರಲ್ಲಿ ಬೇಸಗೆಕಾಲವಾದ ಎಪ್ರಿಲ್, ಮೇ, ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನೀರು ಬುಕ್ತಿ ಹೋಗುವ ನಂಥ ಭೂಮಿಯನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲಾ ಮತ್ತೆ ನಾಶಹೊಂದಿ ಬುಳಿದ ಸ್ವಲ್ಪ ಫಸಲಿಗೆ ಕೂಡಾ ಆಗಾಗ ರೋಗ ಕೀಟಗಳಿ ರಾಳಾಗಿ ಹೋಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆತ್ರಿಂದ ಯಿ ನಂಗ್ತಿಗಳನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ವರಾಂಬುರ್ದಿ ನಾನು ಮೂಲ ಅಜ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವರಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಅತೀಕಡಿಮೆಯಾದ ಕರವನ್ನು ಇಡುವಂತೆ ಸಂಮೂಗವಾಗಿ ಸಮಾಧಾನಕರವಾದ ರ್ಷ್ಟಮು ಕೊಡ್ಸಿ ನೀಕಿ ಕಾಪಾಡ ಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಾರ್ಥಿಸುತ್ತೇನೆ

ವಿಧೇಯವಿವ ಮುರುಶೋತ್ತಮ್ಮಕ್ಕೆ
ತಾ 14-8-1935

3 CEHBll/4 F3158

C.F. 33.

**PROCEEDINGS OF THE** Special Assistant Settlement Officer in charge
**MEMORANDUM** Party No. III, Mangalore.

*Post Box No.* _____ *Telegraphic Address* _____

*Name* M.R.Ry.K.P.Krishnan Nayar Avargal B.A.

BOARD OF REVENUE 17 AUG 1935 LAND ADMN. AND SETTLEMENT

*No.* _____, *dated* 15.7.35. 19

*Short title* 17 AUG 1935

READ the following:-

Settlement - Resettlement - Reclassification - Puttur taluk Village No.170 Alike - R.S.No.178/7 - Appeal.

---

Read:- (i) petition dated 16.5.35 from Vaduvina Purushotama Bhatta

(ii) R.O.C.294/p.c. 35 dated 28.5.35 and 27.6.35 and the connected records.

***

Order.

S.No.178/7 having an extent of 8 cents has been reclassified as garden and assessed at Rs.9 an acre, being an areca garden. The appellant does not object to the reclassification but states that for want of irrigation facilities and of manure leaves and shade the yield is poor and his request is that the rate may be reduced to the lowest garden rate.

2. The field has been reinspected. It is found that in this small plot of 8 cents there are so many as 34 bearing arecas, 4 bearing cocos, one bearing tamarind and a non-bearing jack with some young arecas and cocos. It is true that there is not sufficient shade in a portion but there is a well in it from which the appellant can take water, if necessary. It is reported that the garden is not so fertile as the other areca gardens in this village which have been placed in the 1st taram and a reduction to the third taram is recommended. Considering the large number of bearing arecas and the other trees which, while affording shade, are also the garden trees and the existence of the well the field deserves the highest rate but as the yield is reported to be poor it is placed as that of the 1st taram gardens in this village.

concession appears to be called for. The train and rate will be raised from 1 and Rs.6 to 2 and Rs.3-12-0 respectively.

S.A.3.7.35. For Special Asst.Sett.Officer in charge.

To 10/8/7.35.

The Appellant.

Copy to the Superintendent, Branch Office, Puttur with the records returned.

ವಿಭಾಗೀಯ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರಿಗೆ
ಈ 14-8-1935

F-3158/35

To I. A.

The petition below
may kindly be got translate
by some one knowing Kanarese

19-8.

Translated
27/8

The petition is an appeal by Purushotam Bhat against the order of the Special Assistant Settlement Officer Party No III, Ullangalore contained in L.D.C. No 1688/35 d. 5-7-35.

The petitioner says that there is a small well in the plot S No 178/7 But in the months of April and May the well dries up and there is no water anywhere for watering the plants Consequently the trees wither away This can be known only if the plot is inspected during that period. The plot was uninspected in the month of June, when there was water. The trees that withered and the seasons got attacked by pests and die. The petitioner therefore requests that these facts may be considered and the plot may be assessed at the lowest rates.

**DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.**

to. Indians.

When received for fair copying ..

When copied ..

When examined..

When signed ..

When despatched

DESPATCHED 10 SEP 1935

SUBJECT:—

Sett. Restn. (South Kanara) - Putting TK - Alike villages - R.S.178½ - Reclassification - Appeal.

READ AGAIN , dated 19 .

,, ,, ,, ,, 19 .

F. 315-8/35-R

READ *ALSO*:—

No. From the , No. , dated 19

,, ,, ,, ,, ,, 19 .

,, ,, ,, ,, ,, 19 .

Ref. ~~Order Ms.~~ Resolution—Rout. No. F.315-8/35-1, dated 9 — 9 — 1935.

Forwarded to the S.D.O. in charge of Party No. III for submission of the records with any remarks which he considers necessary regarding the allegations in the petition which are clearly dealt with in the records.

8/9/35

9-35

7-9-35

9/9

C.F. 32

Settlement-Party

DEPARTMENT.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

*Received* *Registered*

BOARD OF REVENUE
16 SEP 1935
LAND REVENUE AND SETTLEMENT

From (Name) M.R.Ry.K.P.Krishnan Nayar Avargal B.A.,

(Designation) Special Assistant Settlement Officer in charge, Party No.III,

Post Box , (Station) Cuddapah.

*Telegraphic Address*

The Secretary to the Commissioners of
Land Revenue and Settlement,
Chepauk, Madras.

Sir, R.O.C. *No.*A1.3082.35 *dated* 11.9.35. *19*

ENCLOSURES 2/

SPARE COPIES

Settlement - Resettlement - (South Kanara) - Puttur taluk - Village No.170 Alike - R.S.No.178/7 - Reclassification - Appeal.

*****

Reference:- Board's Ref.F.3158-35-1 dated 9.9.35.

****

Wet.

Resurvey Number 178/7 is a small triangular plot of 8 cents situated on the edge of wet fields. It contains cocos and arecas of which 34 arecas and 4 cocos are bearing. It was reclassified from dry to garden at resettlement and the number of arecas being sufficient by itself for the transfer of the plot to garden, it was treated as an areca garden and was, in view of the large number of trees placed under the first taram and assessed at Rs.9 an acre under paragraph 22(i) of the resettlement notification. The appellant preferred an objection petition to the Settlement Tahsildar who rejected it on the ground that the plot was a 'pucca areca garden' and no reduction could be made in its rate. He appealed to me against the Settlement Tahsildar's order. He did not question the reclassification as garden but wanted the rate to be reduced to the lowest garden rate of Rs.2-4-0 an acre, his reason being the poverty of the yield of the trees owing to want of irrigation facilities and of manure leaves and shade. I had the land reinspected by the Superintendent

of the Puttur Branch Office and on his recommendation I passed on 5-7-35 reducing the rate to Rs.6-12-0 an acre. The appeal to the Board is against my order L.Dis.1688-35 dated 5-7-35.

2. I submit the disposal file herewith. It contains the notes of reinspection by the Superintendent who gives all details. Areca gardens especially in this taluk are very fertile and those placed in the third taram (Rs.6-12-0) are not of superior variety. Besides the 34 arecas and 4 cocos the plot also contains a bearing tamarind tree. It is true that there is no irrigation by direct flow but there is a well on the land and also a small channel on one side of it. Considering the small extent of the plot and its situation and the large number of bearing trees, the rate imposed is not excessive. Dis-regarding the phenomenally - large income from arecas in the well-placed areca gardens of the district and considering the yield in this case only at the lowest possible estimate, the income from the plot can never be less than 7 or 8 times the assessment on it. The appellant alleges no new facts in his appeal petition which is resubmitted herewith.

Kotiśwaramayya

S.A.13.9.35. Special Asst.Sett.Officer in charge.

11

# DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

| | Date. | Initia's. |
|---|---|---|
| When received for fair copying .. | | |
| When copied .. | | |
| When examined.. | | |
| When signed .. | | |
| When despatched. | | |

DESPATCHED 21 SEP 1935

SUBJECT :—

Sett - Resett - (South Kanara) — Puttur TK - Village No 170, Sirike R.S. 178/7 — Reclassification no garden — Reduction of assessment

A.S.

READ AGAIN ..... , dated ..... 19....

" " ..... " ..... 19....

READ *ALSO* :—

No. ..... From the ....., No. ....., dated ..... 19.....

" ..... " ..... " ..... " F. 3572/35 19.....

" ..... " ..... " ..... " ..... 19.....

Rf: ~~Order Ms.~~ ~~Resolution Rout.~~ No. F 3158/35-2, dated 19-9-1935.

From the report of the Superintendent, Branch Office, d. 27-6-35 disposed of in S.A.S.O's L. Dis. 1688/35 d. 5-7-35 the Board observes that R.S. 178/7 corresponds to parts of S.No. 22/5, 22/6 & 22/9. According to the old Sett register of the village S. Nos 22/5 & 22/9 are wet fields while S. No. 22/6 is a dry field. Para 11 (b) of the resett notification prohibits the transfer of wet fields to garden. The S.A.S.O. is requested to report why the sub-division in question was

registered as a garden & whether
the portion registered as not
at the old site should not
be so returned at the visit.

19/9/35

17-9

13

C.F. 32.

Settlement DEPARTMENT.

In reply, please quote number, date and short title.*

*Received* *Registered* RP658 F3585

From (Name) D.I.R. Muir Esq., I.C.S.,

(Designation) Special Settlement Officer,

Post Box ....., (Station) No.III Party, Cuddapah.

*Telegraphic Address* .....

BOARD OF REVENUE
11 OCT 1935
LAND REVENUE AND SETTLEMENT

To

The Secretary to the Commissioners of
Land Revenue & Settlement, Chepauk, Madras.

ROC. *No.* 3082.35.2, *dated* 7th Octr. *19*35.

Sir,

ENCLOSURES
1 file

SPARE COPIES

Settlement- Resettlement (South Kanara) Puthur Taluk -- village No. 170. Alike - R. S. No. 173/7 -- Re-classification as garden- Reduction of assessment

- - - - - - - p11 of

Board's Ref. No. 3158.35.2 dated 19/9/35.

- - - - - - -

The total extent covered by R. S. No. 173/7 is only 8 cents of which 3 cents is settlement wet and the remaining 5 cents new garden. On inspection it was found that the entire area was garden on ground. If the old wet description had to be retained at resettlement, an imaginary subdivision was necessary. Considering the insignificant area of the old wet portion and also the fact that the component parts were less than 20 cents the entire area was re-classified as garden following the state of things on ground.

J. K. Ramanatha Aggar

nnr. 9.10. for Special Settlement Officer.

DESPATCHED 7-10-35
1-OCT 1935

## DRAFT FOR APPROVAL.

| | Date. | Initials. |
|---|---|---|
| When received for fair copying .. | | |
| When copied .. | | |
| When examined.. | | |
| When signed .. | 29/7/40 | |
| When despatched. | | |

SUBJECT:—

Sett - Result - (South Kanara) -
Puttur TK - Siki village -
R. S. 178/7 - Reclassification -
Appeal - Dismissed
P.C.f F. 3158/35 - Q

READ AGAIN _____, dated _____ 19____.

" " _____ " P.C.f F. 3572/35 19 Q. to S.A-8.9
P.C.f F. 3885/35 19 B to Pets

READ *ALSO*:—

No._____ From the _____, No._____, dated_____ 19____.

" _____ " _____ " _____ " _____ 19____.

" _____ " _____ " _____ " _____ 19____.

~~Order~~ / Resolution ~~Rout.~~ / Ms. No. 4075, dated 28 - 10 - 1935.

The Board observes that the rate of assessment on the field in question was reduced from Rs 9-0-0 an acre to Rs 6-12-0 an acre though there are 34 bearing areca nuts and 11 bearing garden trees in the field which measures only 8 cents. It therefore sees no reason for reducing the rate of assessment further. The appeal is accordingly dismissed.

2 In the circumstances reported in the S.S.O's letter read above the Board approves the action of the Sett. staff

in transferring the 3 cents of
wet land to garden in accordance
with the state of things on
ground.

26/10/25

RL
25-10

25/10

Sett. Riott - (South Kanara) -
Partition suit - R. Sikka
village - R.S. 178/7 - Reclassifica-
tion as garden - Appeal.

F. 3158/35-

Z.A.S. (Sett.)

Plus on the
Memorandum petition at p 1 e/f.
(English abstract at p 5 e/f) This
is an appeal against the S.A.S.O.'s
order at p 3 e/f The S.A.S.O.'s
order is dated 5-7-35 but was dis-
patched only on 8-7-35. The appeal
was received in this office on
17-8-35. The appeal time allowed
is 40 days from the date of communi-
cation of the order. The appeal
is thus within time

the field may be assessed at the lowest rate Areca gardens were assessed at the highest rate even at the original settl. The S A-S.O has after inspecting the field reduced the rate by 2 annas owing to the fact that the yield was reported to be not as good as deserve 1st annas rate for orders whether the petitions may in the circumstances be rejected whether the remarks of the S.A.S.O should be either for

Jhe

31-8-35

2/9/35

J.S.

28.9.35

page 24 - para 39 of (U)

(R) It is found from the S.A.S.O's remarks dated and that it is only the Superintendent who has inspected the field

To A.S. (Sitt)

Please see the previous note & the S.A.S.O.'s letter at p.p 9 & 10 c.f.

R.S. ~~678~~ 178/7 registered at Visitt. no garden was formed out of parts of C.S. Nos 22/5, 6 & 9 C.S. Nos 22/5 & 22/9 were registered as wet fields at the old settl. C.S. No 22/6 no avg. The newly formed R.S. ~~678~~ 178/7 is composed of 3 cents of land which was wet at the old settl. & 5 cents of dry the entire extent being now garden or ground. Though under the rules wet lands should not be transferred to garden, the S.S.D. explains that the wet extent being only insignificant, this rule was not adhered to & the entire area was registered as garden. There are 34 areca & 4 coconut trees which are bearing in this extent of 8 cents. As the number of areca trees was in itself sufficient for the transfer of the plot to garden it was registered as an area

garden & assessed at Rs 9 an acre,
the rate for areca gardens - Vide
para 22 (i) of the notification
at page 9 of (N). & para 39 of the lecture report at page 24 of (U)
The registered holder
did not question the reclassi-
fication but requested the
reduction of the rate to the
lowest garden rate of Rs 2-4-0
per acre On appeal to the S.H.S.
the plot was inspected by
the Superintendent of the Brane
offic Owing to / the inferiorit
of the areca trees & lack of
shade the Superintendent recom-
mended the reduction of the
rate from Rs 9-0-0 to Rs 6-12-0
& this was accepted by the
S.A.S.O. The present appeal
to the Board is against this
order & the appellant requests
that the lowest rate may
be levied. In his remarks
the S.A.S.O states that the
income from the plot can,
at the lowest estimate never
be less than 7 or 8 times t
assessment on it. Besides,
it has to be pointed out

that if the 3 cents of old wet land had been retained as wet the petitioner would have to pay at the rate of Rs 9-14-0 per acre for this extent. In the circumstances as to for order whether the appeal may be rejected. The action of the S.A.S.O. in transferring the 3 cents of wet land to Warden may in the circumstances explained in the S.S.O.'s letter at p. 13 c.f. be also approved. For orders.

17-10.

18.10.35

Rejected 17.11.35

J.S. 23.X

Issue J-cases.

24.10